

Table 1 Current Law Distribution									
<u>VEHICLES</u>	<u>Highway Account</u>	<u>District Courts</u>	<u>DofAg. SSRA</u>	<u>FWP SSRA</u>	<u>Univ. 6-mill</u>	<u>Assump. 9-mill</u>	<u>GF 95-mills</u>	<u>GF 1.5-mills</u>	<u>Local Gov Mills</u>
Watercrafts	No	No	No	20% ¹ /No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Snowmobiles	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
OHV's	No	No	\$1/vehicle	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Motorhomes	New Only	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Motorcycles/Quads	New Only	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes
Heavy Vehicles	New Only	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Trailers	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Travel Trailers	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Campers	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
LIGHT VEHICLES	New Only	10%	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes

¹FWP receives 20% of FILT's on Watercrafts through FY2002.

1. Table shows the *current law distribution* of the fee in lieu of taxes to the varies accounts/funds for watercrafts, snowmobiles, OHV's, motor homes, motorcycles/quadracycles, heavy vehicles, trailers, travel trailers, and campers. Additionally, Table 1 shows the distribution of the registration flat fee for light vehicles.
2. Table 2 below shows the *proposed law distribution* of the fee in lieu of taxes to the various accounts/funds for watercrafts, snowmobiles, OHV's, motor homes, motorcycles/quadracycles, heavy vehicles, trailers, travel trailers, and campers. Additionally, Table 2 shows the distribution of the registration flat fee for light vehicles.

Table 2 Proposed Law Distribution									
<u>VEHICLES</u>	<u>Highway Account</u>	<u>District Courts</u>	<u>DofAg. SSRA</u>	<u>FWP SSRA</u>	<u>Univ. 6-mill</u>	<u>Assump. 9-mill</u>	<u>GF 95-mills</u>	<u>GF 1.5-mills</u>	<u>Local Gov Mills</u>
Watercrafts	No	9%	No	20% ¹ /No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Snowmobiles	No	9%	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
OHV's	No	9%	\$1/vehicle	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Motorhomes	New Only	9%	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Motorcycles/Quads	New Only	9%	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes
Heavy Vehicles	New Only	9%	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Trailers	No	9%	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Travel Trailers	No	9%	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Campers	No	9%	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
LIGHT VEHICLES	New Only	9%	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes

¹FWP receives 20% of FILT's on Watercrafts through the first half of FY2002.

- Increasing the district court share of fee in lieu of taxes from 0% to 9% *decreases* general fund revenue by \$83,891 in fiscal 2002, and \$165,518 in fiscal 2003; *decreases* revenue to the **university system 6-mill account** by \$5,380 in fiscal 2002, and \$10,616 in fiscal 2003; *decreases* revenue to the **state assumption of public assistance 9-mill account** by \$4,552 in fiscal 2002, and \$8,983 in fiscal 2003; and *decreases* revenue to **local governments** by \$56,569 in fiscal 2002, and \$96,743 in fiscal 2003. Revenue *increases* for the **district courts** by \$150,391 in fiscal 2002, and \$281,859 in fiscal 2003. Table 3 below shows the revenue impacts under this proposal by account/fund.

Table 3					
Revenue Impacts Under this Proposal					
<u>Year</u>	<u>District Courts</u>	<u>Univ. 6-mill</u>	<u>Assump. 9-mill</u>	<u>General Fund</u>	<u>Local Gov Mills</u>
FY02	\$ 150,391	\$ (5,380)	\$ (4,552)	\$ (83,891)	\$ (56,569)
FY03	\$ 281,859	\$ (10,616)	\$ (8,983)	\$ (165,518)	\$ (96,743)

- The above impacts in assumption three are calculated using a 1.5% annual growth rate in the number of vehicles. The calendar year 2000 motor vehicle database is used as the base year in making the calculations in assumption three.

Department of Justice

- Programming costs to the Department of Justice to redesign the motor vehicle system to accommodate the changes in this program to change county and school district tables are estimated at \$300 (4 hours x @ \$75/hour = \$300 FY02 only). It will be necessary to contract for programming with an outside vendor since DOJ programming staff are committed to completing the current backlog of more than 15,000 hours of programming to comply with existing mandates. Computer costs to complete the programming are estimated at \$83 in FY02 only (.5 days @ \$165/day = \$82.50).
- Programming costs incurred by the counties to appropriately distribute these fees is unknown.

FISCAL IMPACT:

	<u>FY2002</u> <u>Difference</u>	<u>FY2003</u> <u>Difference</u>
<u>Expenditures:</u>		
Operating Expenses	\$383	0
<u>Funding:</u>		
General Fund (01)	\$383	0
<u>Revenues:</u>		
General Fund (01)	\$(83,891)	\$(165,518)
District Courts (02)	\$150,391	\$281,859
University 6-mill (03)	\$(5,380)	\$(10,616)
Assumption 9-mill (04)	\$(4,552)	\$(8,983)
Local Governments (05)	\$(56,569)	\$(96,743)
<u>Net Impact to Fund Balance (Revenue minus Expenditure):</u>		
General Fund (01)	\$(84,274)	\$(165,518)
District Courts (02)	\$150,391	\$281,859
University 6-mill (03)	\$(5,380)	\$(10,616)
Assumption 9-mill (04)	\$(4,552)	\$(8,983)
Local Governments (05)	\$(56,569)	\$(96,743)

EFFECT ON COUNTY OR OTHER LOCAL REVENUES OR EXPENDITURES:

Under this proposal local governments revenue will decrease by \$56,569 in fiscal 2002 and \$96,743 in fiscal 2003.

LONG-RANGE IMPACTS:

Under this proposal, the state general fund, the university system 6-mill account, the state assumption of public assistance 9-mill account, and local government accounts will see a decrease in revenue as noted in assumption 3. The district courts will see an increase in revenue due to allocating 9% of the fees in lieu of taxes to that account.